A new scenario of the universe dynamics with interacting fluids

Ekrem Aydiner*
Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, İstanbul University, İstanbul, 34134, Turkey
(Dated: July 9, 2016)

In this study, I discuss universe dynamics by considering mutual and self-interactions between fluid components such as dark energy, dark, matter etc, in the the spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker space-time. I show that dynamics of the interacting two-fluid with linear EoS can be given by Lotka-Volterra equations. On the other hand, I show that interacting two-fluid model with quadratic EoS can be transformed to the self-interacting Lotka-Volterra equations. Finally I show that these equations can be generalised to N interacting Lotka-Volterra equations for more interacting fluids. Also I find fixed points of these equations and discuss the dynamics of universe. Even though present model needs to be confirmed experimentally, obtained results clearly show that dynamics of universe at large scale and within the time may have stable, unstable or chaotic behaviour in the presence of the interaction and self-interaction in between dark energy, dark matter, matter and others components of the universe unlike other popular models.

PACS numbers: 95.36.+x; 95.35.+d; 45.30.+s; 05.45.-a; 98.80.-k; 95.10.Fh

arXiv:1610.07338v1 [gr-qc] 24 Oct 2016

1. Introduction– The dynamics of the universe at large scale and large time is a very important problem area in physics. However many problems, probably are caused from dynamics of the universe, such as singularity, flatness, horizon, coincidence and fine tuning problems [1–8] have not been completely understood yet. Modern cosmology roughly suggest four main periods to explain the evolution of the universe such as vacuum (Planck), radiation, matter and dark energy era [1–11]. Generally it is suggested that the early and late inflations are necessary to solve notorious difficulties mentioned above [1–4] and to account for the observed accelerating expansion of the universe [5–8]. Many theoretical models have been suggested to solve these problems. Despite the success of the standard ΛCDM model, the dynamics of the universe at large scale, the nature of vacuum energy, dark matter, and dark energy remains very mysterious and leads to many speculations. Especially recent observational cosmology provides strong evidence that dark energy plays an important role on the dynamics of the universe and dominates acceleration of it. However, at present, the universe is composed of approximately 5% baryonic matter, 20% dark matter, and 75% dark energy. The effect of interactions between different kinds of components on the dynamics of the universe are unknown yet. Whereas, the mutual interactions between dark energy-dark matter, dark energy-matter and matter-dark matter etc., can be suggested as a possible scenario of universe evolution since mutually interactions of these components may play important role on the dynamics at large scale. For this fact, last decade, the interacting models have been considered to solve coincidence, fine tuning and singularity problems [12–27]. Another unexpected surprise comes from a recent reports that self-interaction mechanism in dark sector has been predicted and detected in theoretical and experimental studies [28–33].

In this study, considering mutual and self-interactions between fluid components dark energy, dark, matter etc., I will discuss universe dynamics. Choosing suitable and physically meaningful interaction I show that interacting two-fluid model with linear EoS can be transformed to the Lotka-Volterra equations [34, 35] of the competing two species. On the other hand I show that interacting two-fluid model with quadratic EoS can be transformed to the self-interacting Lotka-Volterra equations. I find fixed points of these equations and discuss the dynamics of universe. Finally I generalize these equations to N interacting Lotka-Volterra equations for more interacting fluids. Obtained results clearly show that dynamics of universe at large scale and within the time may have stable, unstable or chaotic behaviour in the presence of the interaction and self-interaction in between dark energy, dark matter, matter and others components of the universe.

2. Friedmann Model– I works in a flat FRW spacetime whose line elements is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} (dx^i)^2 \tag{1}$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the three-dimensional flat space, i indicates the spatial components. FRW equations due to the metric (1) are given by

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\rho \ , \qquad \dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}(\rho + p) \tag{2}$$

where $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$ is the gravitational constant, $H \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is Hubble rate, ρ is energy density and p is pressure. The energy density ρ and pressure p satisfy continuity equation, i.e, energy conservation equation

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\left(\rho + p\right) = 0 \tag{3}$$

where over-dot indicates the time derivative. The relation between ρ and p is given by

$$p = w\rho \tag{4}$$

where w is EoS parameter which is constant and equal to exactly -1 for FRW framework. For the single fluid the energy conservation (3) is given in known form

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho\,(1+w) = 0\ . \tag{5}$$

3. Interacting Model– However, in the real situation, it is known that universe are filled dark energy, dark matter, matter and radiations etc. Therefore these are unavoidably interacts each others. Neglecting radiations, baryons ec., the conservation equations for the background dark matter (m) and the dark energy (d) are

$$\dot{\rho_m} + 3H(\rho_m + p_m) = Q \tag{6a}$$

$$\dot{\rho_d} + 3H(\rho_d + p_d) = -Q \tag{6b}$$

where Q is arbitrary coupling function and subscript de stands for a generic dark energy model to be specified [12–27]. The conservation equations are subject to the Friedman constraint

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}(\rho_d + \rho_m),\ \dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}(\rho_d + p_d + \rho_m + p_m)\ . \tag{7}$$

In this situation, the total energy conservation holds, $\dot{\rho_{eff}} + 3H(\rho_{eff} + p_{eff}) = 0$ where $\rho_{eff} = \rho_d + \rho_m$ and $p_{eff} = p_d + p_m$ and the FRW Eq. (7) do change. The form of Q is determined under phenomenological assumptions, mainly, the dimensional analysis is used to construct interactions. It is reasonable to consider interactions which could improve previously known results and at the same time will not make the mathematical treatment of the problems complicated. It is widely believed that deeper understanding of the nature of dark energy and dark matter could give fundamental explanations of the phenomenological assumptions about interaction. There are different Q definition in the literature [12–27]. In this work I set $p_m = \rho_m w_m$, $p_d = \rho_d w_d$ and $Q = \gamma\rho_m\rho_d$. Therefore, conservation equations under these chosen are given by

$$\dot{\rho_m} + 3H\rho_m\,(1+w_m) = \gamma\rho_m\rho_d \tag{8a}$$

$$\dot{\rho_d} + 3H\rho_d\,(1+w_d) = -\gamma\rho_m\rho_d\ . \tag{8b}$$

These diffferential for of these equations are given by

$$\frac{d\rho_m}{dt} = -3H\,(1+w_d)\,\rho_d - \gamma\rho_d\rho_m \tag{9a}$$

$$\frac{d\rho_d}{dt} = \gamma\rho_m\rho_d - 3H\,(1+w_m)\,\rho_m\ . \tag{9b}$$

By using these relation

$$x_1 = \frac{\gamma}{3H\,(1+w_m)}\rho_d\ ,\quad x_2 = -\frac{\gamma}{3H\,(1+w_d)}\rho_m \tag{10}$$

Eqs. (9a) and (9b) can be transformed to

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \Gamma_1 x_1\,(1-x_2) \tag{11a}$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \Gamma_2 x_2\,(x_1-1) \tag{11b}$$

where $\Gamma_1 = -3H\,(1+w_d)$ and $\Gamma_2 = -3H\,(1+w_m)$. Clearly one can see that the coupled Eqs. (11a) and (11b) are the Lotka-Volterra equations [34, 35] of competing two species. The equilibrium occurs in the model when both of the derivatives are equal to zero.

$$\Gamma_1\,(1-x_2)\,x_1 = 0 \tag{12a}$$

$$\Gamma_2\,(x_1-1)\,x_2 = 0\ . \tag{12b}$$

When solved for x_1 and x_2 the above system of equations yields

$$S_1 := \{x_1, x_2\} = \{0, 0\} \tag{13a}$$

$$S_2 := \{x_1, x_2\} = \{1, 1\}\ . \tag{13b}$$

These are fixed points of the coupling equations. The stability of the fixed points at the origin can be determined by performing a linearisation by using partial derivation. The Jacobian matrix of the model is

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1\,(1-x_2) & -\Gamma_1 x_1 \\ \Gamma_2 x_2 & \Gamma_2\,(x_1-1) \end{bmatrix} \tag{14}$$

where $J = J\,(x_1, x_2)$. When evaluated at the steady state of $(0,0)$ the Jacobian matrix J becomes

$$J\,(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are $\lambda_1 = \Gamma_1 = -3H\,(1+w_m)$ and $\lambda_2 = -\Gamma_2 = 3H\,(1+w_d)$. Evaluating J at the second fixed point leads to

$$J\,(1,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{16}$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are obtained as $\lambda_1 = -3H\sqrt{w_d+1}\sqrt{w_m+1}$ and $\lambda_2 = 3H\sqrt{w_d+1}\sqrt{w_m+1}$. In this model the value of eigenvalues depend on EoS parameters w_m and w_d. Therefore the characteristic properties of these eigenvalues are determined by sign of EoS parameters. The stability of these fixed point are of significance. In the case $w_d < -1$ and $w_m \geq 0$; the fixed point S_1 has two real eigenvalues. The relation between eigenvalues is given as $\lambda_2 > 0 > \lambda_1$ which indicates fixed point S_1 is a saddle point. On the other hand, for $w_d < -1$ and $w_m \geq 0$, the fixed point S_2 has two nonzero imaginary eigenvalues as $\lambda_{1,2} = \pm 3iH\sqrt{w_d+1}\sqrt{w_m+1}$. Hence the linear analysis cannot tell more about nature of S_2, since the eigenvalues may have null real part. Therefore to understand better how the *limit cycles* behave and on what they do depend on, let's take the ratio of the two equation of the models, hence trying to solve

$$\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = \frac{\Gamma_2 x_2\,(x_1-1)}{\Gamma_1 x_1\,(1-x_2)} \tag{17}$$

by separating the variables, the equation reads:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1\,(1-x_2)}{x_2}dx_2 = \frac{\Gamma_2\,(x_1-1)}{x_1}dx_1 \tag{18}$$

integrating now from an arbitrary initial point (x_{10}, x_{20}) and an arbitrary point (x, y), one obtains

$$\Gamma_1 (\ln x_2 - x_2) + \Gamma_2 (\ln x_1 - x_1) = F(x_1, x_2) \quad (19)$$

where $F(x_1, x_2)$ is the equation of a surface, which depends on the initial conditions (x_{10}, x_{20}) and represents an invariant of motion. Analyzing Hessian matrix $H(F)$, one can show that $F(x_1, x_2)$ is a convex function of (x_1, x_2), that S_2 represents is critical points, and that the contour lines are close curves. These close curves ones are also the *limit cycles* of the system Eqs. (11a) and (11b), and all the trajectories go onto them.

4. Self-interacting Model– Now I am interested in a non-linear barotropic EoS $p = p(\rho)$. Let us consider the specific case of general quadratic EoS of the form

$$p = \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n \rho^n = p_0 + A_1 \rho + A_2 \rho^2 + ... \quad (20)$$

Here I neglect higher order terms and I only interested in quadratic form of the EoS $p = p_0 + A_1\rho + A_2\rho^2$. The usual scenario for a cosmological fluid is a standard linear EoS ($p_0 = A_2 = 0$), in which case $A_1 = w$ is usually restricted to the range $-1 < \alpha < 1$. The parameter A_2 set the characteristic energy scale of the quadratic term [36]. In high energy regime restricted equation of state can be chosen as $p = A_1\rho + A_2\rho^2$. Hence for coupling case, dark matter and dark energy pressure are modified as $p_m = A_{1m}\rho_m + A_{2m}\rho_m^2$ and $p_d = A_{1d}\rho_d + A_{2d}\rho_d^2$, respectively. Setting $A_{1d} = w_d$, $A_{2d} = w'_d$ and $A_{1m} = w_m$, $A_{2m} = w'_m$, the Eq. (9a) and (9b) can be reorganized as

$$\frac{d\rho_d}{dt} = -3H(1 + w_d)\rho_d - 3Hw'_d\rho_d^2 - \gamma\rho_m\rho_d \quad (21a)$$

$$\frac{d\rho_m}{dt} = \gamma\rho_m\rho_d - 3H(1 + w_m)\rho_m - 3Hw'_m\rho_m^2 \quad (21b)$$

By using Eq. (10), these equation can be transformed to

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \Gamma_1 x_1 + \Gamma'_1 x_1^2 - \Gamma_1 x_1 x_2 \quad (22a)$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \Gamma_2 x_2 + \Gamma'_2 x_2^2 + \Gamma_2 x_1 x_2 \quad (22b)$$

where $\Gamma_1 = -3H(1 + w_d)$, $\Gamma'_1 = -9H^2 w'_d (1 + w_m)\gamma^{-1}$ and $\Gamma_2 = -3H(1 + w_m)$, $\Gamma'_2 = -9H^2 w'_m (1 + w_d)\gamma^{-1}$. As can be seen that the quadratic term in rhs of Eq. (22a) and (22b) correspond to self-interacting terms in between components of the universe [28–33]. One can clearly see that these equation are coupled two interacting species such as dark matter and dark energy in the universe and which looks like to Lotka-Volterra equations. As a result, by using quadratic EoS I find that coupling interactions leads to self-interacting Lotka-Volterra equations.

Now I can discuss fixed point and stability analysis. The two equation of the self-interacting models in the phase plane is is given

$$\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = \frac{x_2(\Gamma_2 + \Gamma'_2 x_2 + \Gamma_2 x_1)}{x_1(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma'_1 x_1 - \Gamma_1 x_2)} . \quad (23)$$

Unlike Eq. (17), the phase plane differential equation in Eq. (23) is not separablee. The simple isoclines are

$$\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = 0 \to \Gamma'_1 x_1 - \Gamma_1 x_2 = -\Gamma_1 \quad (24a)$$

$$\frac{dx_1}{dx_2} = \infty \to \Gamma_2 x_1 + \Gamma'_2 x_2 = -\Gamma_2 \quad (24b)$$

Both of these isoclines are straight lines with positive x_1 and x_2 intercepts depending case of i) $\Gamma_1 > \Gamma'_1$ and $\Gamma_2 < \Gamma'_2$, ii) $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma'_1$ and $\Gamma_2 > \Gamma'_2$, iii) $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma'_1$ and $\Gamma_2 < \Gamma'_2$, iv) $\Gamma_1 > \Gamma'_1$ and $\Gamma_2 > \Gamma'_2$. From time dependent differential equations, one can see that there are two of three equilibrium population of species depending on the EoS parameters in the competing-species model in Eq. (22a) and (22b). In the first and second cases, there are only two equilibrium point which correspond to the extinction of at least one of the species in the universe. However, in the cases of third and fourth there are three equilibrium point in which both species in the universe coexist. This equilibrium population is given by the intersection of the two straight lines $\Gamma'_1 x_1^E - \Gamma_1 x_2^E = -\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2 x_1^E + \Gamma'_2 x_2^E = -\Gamma_2$. Thus these points are given

$$x_1^E = -\frac{(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 + \Gamma'_1\Gamma'_2)}{(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_1\Gamma'_2)}, \quad x_2^E = -\frac{(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 + \Gamma'_1\Gamma_2)}{(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 + \Gamma'_1\Gamma'_2)} \quad (25)$$

Stability of the coexistent equilibrium population can be discussed for different cases.

5. Generalized Model– Additionally I can generalize these coupling equations to interacting three or more species of fluids such as dark matter, dark energy, baryonic matter and radiation etc. Without loss of generality I can introduce the simplest form of such a system in which N species in universe model with population x_i for $i = 1$ to N compete for a finite set of resource as

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \Gamma_1 x_1 (1 - x_2) \quad (26a)$$

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \Gamma_1 x_1 \left(1 - \left(-\frac{\Gamma'_1}{\Gamma_1}x_1 + x_2\right)\right) \quad (26b)$$

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \Gamma_1 x_1 \left(1 - \left(-\frac{\Gamma'_1}{\Gamma_1}x_1 + x_2 + \beta x_3\right)\right) \quad (26c)$$

and so on..., where $\beta = \frac{(1+w_x)}{(1+w_d)}$ which is interacting parameter with x_1 and x_3 species, w_x indicates the EoS parameter of x component of species. Finally, I can generalized to

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \Gamma_i x_i \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \eta_{ij} x_j\right) \quad (27)$$

where x_i denotes the density of the i-th species, Γ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, ...)$ is its intrinsic growth (or decay) rate and the matrix η_{ij} is called the interaction matrix. This equation can be called generalized interacting equation for all component such as dark energy, dark matter, radiation

etc., and this equation is the same the equation of competitive species in Ref. [37]. Imposing the additional conditions $r_i > 0$ and $\eta_{ij} \geq 0$ leads to for competitive species or agent competes for a finite set of resources. These conditions also ensure that all solutions with non-negative initial conditions have highly desirable feature that they remain bounded and asymptotically approach the region $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$. For $i = 1$, $\Gamma_1 = -3H(1 + w_d)$; for $i = 2$, $\Gamma_2 = -3H(1 + w_m)$; ... for $i = N$, $\Gamma_N = -3H(1 + w_N)$. On the other hand matrix elements η_{ij} are given by $\eta_{11} = -\frac{3Hw'_d(1+w_m)}{\eta(1+w_d)}$, $\eta_{12} = 1$, $\eta_{13} = \frac{(1+w_3)}{(1+w_d)}$, and so on. Eq. (27) can also be viewed as the first approximation in a Taylor-series expansion for a wide class of models with the highly desirable feature that solutions are asymptotically bounded $x_i \leq 1$ when $\eta_{ij} \geq 0$.

In this case I find that our self-interacting model in Eq. (27) equal to the the model in Ref. [37]. One can easily investigate the dynamics of these equations. I can simply suggest that these coupled and nonlinear equations produce more complicate and surprising dynamics in the universe in the large time scale. I roughly say that for $N = 1$ case, the Eq. (27) is reduced to the Verhulst [38] equation (26a) and solutions for all initial conditions $x(0) > 0$ are asymptotic, as $t \to \infty$, to the stable equilibrium at $x = 1$. For $N = 2$, Eq. (26b) leads two fixed points i.e. there is a coexisting equilibrium at the fixed point x_1^E and x_1^E. Apparently, for $N \leq 3$ chaos is not possible. However for $N = 3$ case it is shown that chaotic behavior can appear in Eq. (26c) when some of the η_{ij} are negative [39]. The case $N = 3$ admits also limit cycles. Vano et al. [37] studied the occurrence of chaos in basic Lotka-Volterra models of four competing species. And surprisingly they found chaos in a four-species competitive Lotka-Volterra model for some of the r_i and η_{ij} values. Therefore one can show that our competing model in Eq. (27) instead of more smooth expanding dynamics depend on EoS parameters leads to rich dynamics in the our universe.

6. Concluding Remarks– In this study I show that in the presence of the interaction between dark matter and dark energy coupling equations can be written in the form of Lotka-Volterra equation due to interaction parameter Q. On the other hand I show that in the presence of self interactions in dark energy and/or dark energy which can be represented by quadratic EoS, coupling equation can be given in terms of self-interacting Lotka-Volterra equations. These results firstly is obtained here and it may give a new and deeper perspective to understanding universe dynamics. Furthermore I show that it can be generalized the coupling interactions for more component of the different fluids based on simply quadratic EoS. In this study, singularity, flatness, horizon, coincidence and fine tuning problems have not been discussed based on introduced model. However, I discuss how to model of the dynamics of universe in the presence of interaction and self-interactions in between universe components and shown that the equations correspond to dynamics of universe can be given in terms of Lotka-Volterra equations. I show that for choosing suitable competing, interacting and self-interacting models, the dynamics of universe at large scale and within the time may have stable, unstable or chaotic behaviour in the presence of the interaction and self-interaction in between dark energy, dark matter, matter and others components of the universe. This scenario may present unusual dynamics and evolution for the our universe unlike other popular models. One can compute easily these dynamics by using suitable EoS parameters for different interacting and self-interacting components to see stable, unstable and chaotic behaviour, however model needs to be confirmed experimentally.

Acknowledgements– I would like to thanks to anonymous referee for comments and suggestions to improve quality of the paper.

* ekrem.aydiner@istanbul.edu.tr

[1] A. H. Guth, *Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems*, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347356 (1981).

[2] A. D. Linde, *A new inflationary universe scenario: A possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems*, Phys. Lett. B **108**, 389-393 (2012).

[3] A. Albrecht, P. J. Steinhardt, M. S. Turner, F. Wilczek, *Reheating an inflationary universe*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1437-1440 (1982).

[4] A. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*, Harwood: Chur, Switzerland, 1990.

[5] A. G. Riess, et al. *Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant*, Astron. J. **116**, 10091038 (1998).

[6] S. Perlmutter, et al. *Measurements of* Ω *and* Λ *from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae*, Astrophys. J, **517**, 565-586 (1999).

[7] P. de Bernardis, et al. *A flat Universe from high-resolution maps of the cosmic microwave background radiation*, Nature, **404**, 955959 (2000).

[8] S. Hanany, et al. *MAXIMA-1: A Measurement of the Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropy on Angular Scales of* $10' - 4^0$, Ap.J., **545**, L5-L9 (2000).

[9] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology*, (John Wiley Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1972).

[10] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami, S. Tsujikawa, *Dynamics of Dark Energy*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753-1935 (2006).

[11] J. Binney, S. Tremaine, *Galactic Dynamics*, (Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 2008).

[12] P. Wang and X. Meng, *Can vacuum decay in our Universe?*, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** 283 (2005).

[13] S. del Campo, R. Herrera, D. Pavon, *Interacting models may be key to solve the cosmic coincidence problem*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **01** 020 (2009).

[14] J.-H. He, B. Wang, E. Abdalla, *Testing the interaction between dark energy and dark matter via latest observa-*

tions, Phys. Rev. D **83** 063515 (2011).

[15] Z. K. Guo, R. G. Cai and Y. Z. Zhang, *Cosmological evolution of interacting phantom energy with dark matter*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **05**, 002 (2005).

[16] H. Wei, R. G. Cai, *Interacting vectorlike dark energy, the first and second cosmological coincidence problems*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 083002 (2006).

[17] R. G. Cai and A. Wang, *Cosmology with interaction between phantom dark energy and dark matter and the coincidence problem*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **03**, 002 (2005).

[18] H. Zhang, Z.-H. Zhu, *Interacting Chaplygin gas*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 043518 (2006).

[19] P. Wu and H. Yu, *Interacting generalized Chaplygin gas*, Class. Quantum Gravity **24**, 4661 (2007).

[20] X. M. Chen, Y. G. Gong, E. N. Saridakis, *Phase-space analysis of interacting phantom cosmology*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **04**, 001 (2009).

[21] J.-H. He, B. Wang and P. Zhang, *Imprint of the interaction between dark sectors in large scale cosmic microwave background anisotropies* Phys. Rev. D **80**, 063530 (2009).

[22] L. P. Chimento, *Linear and nonlinear interactions in the dark sector* Phys. Rev. D **81**, 043525 (2010).

[23] M. R. Setare, *Interacting holographic dark energy model in non-flat universe* Phys. Lett. B 642, 1 (2006); M. R. Setare, *Bulkbrane interaction and holographic dark energy* Phys. Lett. B **642**, 421 (2006); M. R. Setare, *Interacting holographic generalized Chaplygin gas model* Phys. Lett. B **654**, 1 (2007).

[24] M. Baldi, *Time dependent couplings in the dark sector: from background evolution to nonlinear structure formation*, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 411 (2011) 1077.

[25] J.-H. He and B. Wang, *Effects of the interaction between dark energy and dark matter on cosmological parameters*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **06** 10 (2008).

[26] M. Khurshudyan, A. Khurshudyan, R. Myrzakulov, *Interacting varying Ghost Dark energy models in General Relativity*, Astrophys. Space Sci. **357** 113 (2015).

[27] J. Sadeghi, M. Khurshudyan, M. Hakobyan, H. Farahani, *Mutually interacting Tachyon dark energy with variable G and* Λ, Res. Astron. Astrophys. *15* 175 (2015); J. Sadeghi, M. Khurshudyan, M. Hakobyan, H. Farahani, P*henomenological Fluids from Interacting Tachyonic Scalar Fields*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. *53* 2246 (2014); J. Sadeghi, M. Khurshudyan, A. Movsisyan, H. Farahani, *Interacting Ghost Dark Energy Models with Variable G and* Λ, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. *12* 031 (2013).

[28] R. Massey et al., *The behaviour of dark matter associated with four bright cluster galaxies in the 10 kpc core of Abell 3827*, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **449**, 33933406 (2015)

[29] H. W. Lin and A. Loeb, *Scaling relations of halo cores for self-interacting dark matter*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **03** 009 (2016).

[30] E. D. Nobile, M. Kaplinghat and H-B. Yu, *Direct detection signatures of self-interacting dark matter with a light mediator*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **10** 055 (2015).

[31] K. K. Boddy, J. L. Feng, M. Kaplinghat, and T. M.P. Tait, *Self-interacting dark matter from a non-Abelian hidden sector*, Pyhs. Rev. D. **89** 115017 (2014).

[32] S. Mitra, *Has DAMA Detected Self-Interacting Dark Matter?*, Pyhs. Rev. D. **71** 121302(R) (2005).

[33] G. Bhattacharya, P. Mukherjee and A. Saha, *On the self-interaction of dark energy in a ghost-condensate model*, arXiv:1301.4746v1

[34] A. J. Lotka, *Elements of Physical Biology*, (Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1925).

[35] V. Volterra, *Variazioni e fluttuazioni del numero dindividui in specie animali conviventi*, Mem. R. Accad. Naz. dei Lincei, Ser. VI, vol. 2, (1926).

[36] K. N. Ananda and M. Bruni, *Cosmological dynamics and dark energy with a nonlinear equation of state: A quadratic model*, Phys. Rev. D **74** 023523 (2006).

[37] J. A. Vano, J. C. Wildenberg, M. B. Anderson, J. K. Noel and J. C. Sprott, *Chaos in low-dimensional LotkaVolterra models of competition*, Nonlinearity **19**, 23912404 (2006)

[38] P. F. Verhulst, *Recherches Mathenatiques sur la Loi d'Accroissement de la Population*, Noveaux Memoires de lAcademie Royale des Sciences et Belles-Lettres de Bruxelles **18** 1 1845.

[39] A. Arneodo, P. Coullet, and C. Tresser, *Occurence of strange attractors in three-dimensional Volterra equations*, Phys. Lett. A **79** 259 (1980).